

REMARKS

Claims 1, 5-8, 10-17, 41 and 42 are present for consideration in this application. Claims 41 and 42 stand allowed. Claims 2-4 and 18-40 are hereby canceled without prejudice.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 4 and claim 8 amended to include the subject matter of claim 9. In these last instances, claims 4 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This has been done in the above proffered amendment. Claims 5-7 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1. Claims 10-17 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 8. Thus, as the Examiner has indicated (*infra*), “the prior art does not teach aircraft galley counters that are coupled to or extending in front of aircraft doorways,” all of the claims now contain this limitation not found in the prior art and are therefore allowable to the applicants.

The amendments in no way involved the introduction of new matter, deriving from at least original claims 4 and 9.

The cited art Greiss and Kull taken singly or in combination does not teach or suggest the claimed invention as defined in the independent claims or any of the claims dependent thereon. Accordingly, the art rejections must be withdrawn.

In view of the above, it is submitted that all of the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to this effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Attorneys for Applicant

December 11, 2006

Date

/joshua s. broitman/

Joshua S. Broitman

Registration No. 38,006

OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY &
BROITMAN, PC

250 Park Avenue, Suite 825

New York, NY 10177-0899

Phone: (212) 681-0600

Customer No. 64722